



Fulton County, GA

# Department of Purchasing & Contract Compliance

**August 28, 2015**

**Re: 15RFP98016C-DR, VALVE ASSESSMENT PROGRAM**

Dear **Bidder(s)**:

Attached is one (1) copy of Addendum 1, hereby made a part of the above referenced **15RFP98016C-DR, VALVE ASSESSMENT PROGRAM.**

Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions in the **15RFP98016C-DR** referenced above remain unchanged and in full force and effect.

Sincerely,

*Donald R. Riley*

**Donald R. Riley, CPPB  
Assistant Purchasing Agent**

**15RFP98016C-DR, VALVE ASSESSMENT PROGRAM**

**Addendum No. 1**

**Page Two**

This Addendum forms a part of the contract documents and **modifies** the original RFP documents as noted below:

**Attached hereto are responses to questions submitted in reference to the above RFP and see the updated Cost Proposal.**

Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions in the proposal referenced above remain unchanged and in full force and effect.

Failure to return a signed copy of this addendum could render your bid non-responsive.

**ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF ADDENDUM NO. 1**

The undersigned proposer acknowledges receipt of this addendum by returning one (1) copy of this form with the proposal package to the Department of Purchasing, Fulton County Public Safety Building, 130 Peachtree Street, Suite 1168, Atlanta, Georgia 30303 by the RFP due date and time **September 16, 2015 at 11:00 A.M.**

This is to acknowledge receipt of Addendum No. 1, \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 20\_\_.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Legal Name of Bidder

\_\_\_\_\_  
Signature of Authorized Representative

\_\_\_\_\_  
Title

## 15RFP98016C-DR, VALVE ASSESSMENT PROGRAM

Below are questions for Proposal#: **15RFP98016C-DR, VALVE ASSESSMENT PROGRAM**

1. Can the prime contractor utilize a sub-contractor to meet the utility contractor's license requirements.

With regard to the valve exercising contract, in recent months Hydromax USA acquired most of the staff and equipment of Mueller Co's Field Services Division as they exited the service industry, expanding our existing water, sewer and gas portfolio to a national footprint of over 150 staff. Our staff have performed hundreds of thousands of valve exercising programs including a 60K valve (5k Large valve) program for Nashville and a 30k valve program for Macon. Under the Section 3 Item 13, I would like to confirm whether Hydromax would be able to bid the project referencing our firm's team experience and having our references confirm their experience with our named project team members.

Response: The Contractor may utilize a sub-contractor to satisfy the Utility Contractor license requirement. Any work that requires a construction related activities including, but not limited to, raising valve boxes in asphalt, raising valve boxes in dirt and re-aligning valve boxes must involve said sub-contractor.

2. Given that the core focus of the RFP is valve assessment, inclusion of Internal Pipeline Inspection technology with the specifications listed will result in a single bidder response from Pure/Wachs, as no other technology will meet the exact specifications provided. This "single source" specification will prevent other firms from competitively bidding the RFP, as well as create upward pricing pressure on the volume of work (valve assessment) serving as the primary initiative of the program. Would the county evaluate modifying the bid tab to make items 17 and 18 optional as other counties such as Gwinnett have previously done to ensure receipt of a competitively sourced procurement. (See Attached Addendum: Page 2 and Bid Schedule B)

Response: Item 3.3,1,O, Page 3-12. This service is hereby being reclassified as an Optional / Additional service, providing a unit rate for this specific line item is not mandatory and creates no prejudice or benefit to the Bidder with respect to the evaluation of the Proposals. Items 17 and 18 are hereby deleted from the cost proposal.

Change the last sentence in that section to:

All items in "O" above shall be included in the optional/additional unit price for CCTV Inspection (item numbers 22 and 23 in the Cost Proposal).

3. If the county will agree to the above changes and allow for an additional week for preparation of a submission, Hydromax would be pleased to submit a highly competitive response, as I would assume other firms prevented from submitting would do so as well.

Response: We concur with extending the receipt of the proposals. We are extending an additional two weeks to **September 16, 2015**.

Points of clarifications and corrections within the document:

- Page 1-1, last paragraph should read:
  - Proposals provided in response to this RFP that comply with the submittal requirements set forth in Section 4.0, including all forms and certifications, will be evaluated in accordance with the criteria and procedures described in Section 5.0. Based on the results of the evaluation, the County will award the ~~detention pond water quality retrofit~~ Valve Assessment Program to the most advantageous Proposer based on the cost and the evaluation factors set forth in the RFP.
- Page 3-23, Section 3, Item 2. should read:
  - All proposed key personnel must have at least a minimum of three (3) years of work experience in ~~detention pond water quality retrofit~~ water valve assessment, rehabilitation and/or information management (depending on project function).
- Same page, same section, Item 3 should read:

The Project Manager must have a minimum of five (5) years of experience in water valve assessment, rehabilitation and information management work within the past ~~five (5)~~ ten (10) years.

**SEE      UPDATED      COST      PROPOSAL      ATTACHED.**

<b>Item #</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Unit</b>	<b>Estimated Quantity</b>	<b>Unit Price</b>	<b>Total Price</b>
1.	Servicing Valves smaller than 4 inches	EA	50	\$	\$
2.	Servicing Valves sized 4 inches to 10 inches	EA	1750	\$	\$
3.	Servicing Valves sized greater than 10 inches up to 16 inches	EA	100	\$	\$
4.	Servicing Valves sized greater than 16 inches	EA	50	\$	\$
5.	Servicing Air Relief Valves	EA	11	\$	\$
6.	Adjusting Valve Boxes in Asphalt / Concrete w/ operating nut 52" or less below grade	EA	350	\$	\$
7.	Adjusting Valve Boxes in Asphalt / Concrete w/ operating nut more than 52" up to 96" below grade	EA	125	\$	\$
8.	Adjusting Valve Boxes in Asphalt / Concrete w/ operating nut more than 96" up to 144" below grade	EA	50	\$	\$
9.	Adjusting Valve Boxes in Asphalt / Concrete w/ operating nut more than 144" up to 192" below grade	EA	25	\$	\$
10.	Adjusting Valve Boxes in Dirt / Soil operating nut 52" or less below grade	EA	1000	\$	\$
11.	Adjusting Valve Boxes in Dirt / Soil operating more than 52" up to 96" below grade	EA	350	\$	\$
12.	Adjusting Valve Boxes in Dirt / Soil operating nut more than 96" up to 144" below grade	EA	75	\$	\$
13.	Adjusting Valve Boxes in Dirt / Soil operating nut more than 144" up to 192" below grade	EA	25	\$	\$
14.	Servicing Fire Hydrant	EA	1250	\$	\$
15.	Painting Fire Hydrants	EA	1250	\$	\$
16.	Main Capacity Fire Flow Test, AWWA M17	EA	1000	\$	\$
17.	CCTV Inspection and Assessment 8"-12" (per insertion)	EA	10	DELETED	DELETED
18.	CCTV Inspection and Assessment > 12" (per insertion)	EA	5	DELETED	DELETED
19.	2 Man Crew+ Vehicle per hour	HR	250	\$	\$
20.	RTK (Survey Grade) GPS Surveying	EA	1500	\$	\$
21.	Street Surface Milling and Paving	SF	25,000	\$	\$

TOTAL ESTIMATED PRICE \$ \_\_\_\_\_

Optional / Additional Items

<b>22.</b>	CCTV Inspection and Assessment 8"-12" (per insertion)	<b>EA</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$</b>
<b>23.</b>	CCTV Inspection and Assessment > 12" (per insertion)	<b>EA</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$</b>

TOTAL ESTIMATED PRICE OPTIONAL/ADDITIONAL ITEMS \$\_\_\_\_\_